

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE I United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/802,278 | 03/08/2001 | Ari Juels | RSA-044 (7216/66) | 6866 |
| 23483 | 7590 05/11/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| WILMER C | CUTLER PICKERING HA | ELISCA, PIERRE E | | |
| 60 STATE S | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BOSTON, N | MA 02109 | | 3621 | |
| | DATE MAILED: 0 | | DATE MAILED: 05/11/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | f | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/802,278 | JUELS, ARI | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Pierre E. Elisca | 3621 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | , | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ion. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe | ebruary 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowar | • | | is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| • | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attack | Mal | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | rademark Office | , | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/802,278 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's response, filed on 02/06/2005.

2. Claims 1-23 are pending.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

3. Claims 18-23 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Herz (U.S. Pat. No. 6,460,036) in view of Walker et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,772).

As per claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 11 Herz substantially discloses a customized electronic identification of desirable objects, such as news articles, in an electronic media environment, and in particular to a system that automatically constructs both a target profile for each target object in the electronic media based (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a method for enabling targeted information retrieval while protecting consumer privacy) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/802,278

Art Unit: 3621

providing a plurality of elements of information (see., abstract, specifically target objects, col 1, lines 18-43, col 6, lines 63-67, col 7, lines 1-12);

specifying a negotiant function designed to accept a plurality of elements of data associated an information request as output, said information request designating at least one element of information to present to the consumer from among a plurality of elements of information (see., col 39, lines 47-60, specifically wherein it is stated that in order to negotiate the release of user-specific information and credentials, server s4 may require server s2 to supply credentials proving that the user or consumer is entitled to the information requested, for example, proving that the user or consumer is a subscriber in good standing to a particular information service); and

distributing the negotiant function (see., col 39, lines 47-65, specifically wherein it is stated that proxy server s2 has sent a message to a server s4 and server s4 has created a response M2 to message M1 to be sent to the user).

Based on the interview conducted on 12/02/2004, Applicant's representative argued that the prior art of record (Herz 036") fails to disclose distributing the negotiation function to a consumer for execution by said consumer. Whereas in Herz the negotiation has been done by two servers S2 and S4 but not by the consumer. However, the Examiner has made an updated search and found new prior art (Walker et al 772"). Walker discloses a system/method wherein a consumer negotiates a price for a selected product, the consumer is assured that he will actually receive the product (see., abstract, col 10, lines 35-45). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the customized

electronic identification of Herz by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Walker because this would allow consumer to significantly affect the price of the products of the manufacturer.

As per claim 2, Herz discloses the claimed method of receiving the information request from said consumer, said information request produced by the negotiant function (see., col 39, lines 47-65, specifically wherein it is stated that in order to negotiate the release of user-specific information and credentials, server s4 may require server s2 to supply credentials proving that the user or consumer is entitled to the information requested, for example, proving that the user is a subscriber in good standing to a particular information service);

transmitting the at least one element of information to the consumer in response to the information request (see., col 39, lines 47-65, specifically wherein it is stated that proxy server s2 has sent a message to a server s4 and server s4 has created a response M2 to message M1 to be sent to the user or consumer).

As per claim 4, Herz discloses the claimed method wherein said negotiant function is designed to accept a plurality of elements of data associated with a consumer as input (see., abstract, please note that plurality of elements or target objects, col 1, lines 18-43, col 6, lines 63-67, col 7, lines 1-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/802,278 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, Herz discloses the claimed method of encrypting the plurality of information requests; and aggregating a plurality of request pair v1 said plurality of request pair having a sequence, each of said plurality of request pairs comprising an encrypted information request and a consumer identifier (see., abstract, col 1, lines 18-43, specifically cryptographic based proxy server).

RESPONSETO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 02/06/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,278

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

May 09, 2005